



Some Applications On Class of Uniformly Convex Functions Related by Pre-Schwarzian norm defined by Carlson-Shaffer Operator

*Saeida M. Wlie and Milad E. Drbuk¹

¹Department - Mathematics Faculty of Arts and Science-Mesllata, Elmergib University.

A B S T R A C T

In this paper we obtain norm estimates for the Carlson-Shaffer operator of functions defined on the class of uniformly convex functions of order α and type β by using the Pre-Schwarzian norm given by $\|f\| = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}} (1 - |z|^2) \left| \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right|$.

Keywords:Pre-Schwarzian norm, Convex, Starlike, Analytic function, Subordination, Hadamard product, Hypergeometric function, Carlson-Shaffer operator.

بعض التطبيقات على فصل الدوال المحدبة بشكل منتظم والمرتبطة بنظيم شوارتز والمعرفة باستخدام مؤثر كارلسون-شافير

*سعيدة ميلاد فرج ولی و ميلاد احمد احمد دربوك¹

¹قسم الرياضيات كلية الآداب والعلوم - مسلاتة - جامعة المرقب

الملخص

في هذه الورقة، تحصلنا على التقديرات المعيارية للمؤثر كارلسون-شافير للدوال المعرفة على فصل الدوال المحدبة بشكل منتظم من الرتبة α والنوع β باستخدام نظيم شوارتز.

الكلمات المفتاحية: نظيم شوارتز، الدالة المحدبة، النجمية، التحليلية، التباعية التقاضية، الضرب الاتفافي، الدالة فوق الهندسية، مؤثر كارلسون-شافير.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} be the space of analytic functions in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}: |z| < 1\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_a = \{f \in \mathcal{H}: f(0) = a\}$. Additionally, let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{H}_1$, meaning that a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ if

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n; (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (1.1)$$

and let \mathcal{B} be the subclass of \mathcal{H} consisting of all locally univalent functions, namely, $\mathcal{B} = \{f \in \mathcal{H}: f'(z) \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{U}\}$.



Following Hornich operation [1], we consider \mathcal{B} as a vector space over \mathbb{C} , and for $f \in \mathcal{B}$, we define

$$\|f\| = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}} (1 - |z|^2) \left| \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right|, \quad (1.2)$$

where f''/f' is the pre-Schwarzian derivative of f . For general properties of almost uniformly locally univalent functions relating the norm see [2], [3].

For functions $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$, we say that a function f is subordinat to a function g , and written $f < g$, if and only if there exists a function ω , analytic in \mathbb{U} such that $\omega(0) = 0$, $|\omega(z)| < 1$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$), and $f(z) = g(\omega(z))$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$)

In particular, if g is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then we have the following equivalence (see [4], [5]):

$$f(z) < g(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})$$

Also, the Hadamard product (or the convolution) of two analytic functions f and g , where $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, and $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$, is defined as

$$(f * g)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n = (g * f)(z), (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

The subclasses of starlike and convex functions of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) are represented by the notations $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ respectively. We denote $\mathcal{S}^*(0) = \mathcal{S}^*$ and $\mathcal{K}(0) = \mathcal{K}$, the subclasses of starlike and convex functions, see for example (Srivastava and Owa [6]).

The classes UCV and UST of uniformly convex and uniformly starlike functions were first presented by Goodman[7], [8]. Rønning [9] provided a one variable analytic characterization for UCV , meaning that a function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) belongs to the class UCV if and only if

$$Re \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right|; (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (1.3)$$

Goodman proved the clasical Alexander' s result $f(z) \in UCV \Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in UST$, does not hold. On later Rønning [10] introduced the class \mathcal{S}_p which consists of functions such that $f(z) \in UCV \Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p$. Also, in the following Rønning[9], [10] introduce a parameter α to generalized the classes UCV and \mathcal{S}_p .

Definition 1.1. A function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{S}_p(\alpha)$, if it satisfies the condition:

$$Re \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| + \alpha; (-1 \leq \alpha < 1, z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (1.4)$$



The class of uniformly convex functions of order α denoted by $UCV(\alpha)$, is indicated $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $zf'(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p(\alpha)$.

Bharati ,Parvatham and Swaminathan also presented the classes $UCV(\alpha, \beta)$ and $\mathcal{S}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ in [11]as follows:

Definition 1.2. A function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) is said to be in the class $UCV(\alpha, \beta)$, if it satisfies the condition:

$$Re\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) > \beta \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| + \alpha; (0 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0, z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (1.5)$$

Definition 1.3. A function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{S}_p(\alpha, \beta)$, if it satisfies the condition:

$$Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \beta \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| + \alpha; (0 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0, z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (1.6)$$

Moreover, $f(z) \in UCV(\alpha, \beta)$ if and only if $zf'(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p(\alpha, \beta)$.

For complex parameters a_1, a_2, \dots, a_q and $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s (b_j \notin \mathbb{Z}_0^- = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}; j = 1, 2, \dots, s)$, the generalized hypergeometric function ${}_qF_s(a_1, \dots, a_q; b_1, \dots, b_s; z)$ is defined by the following series (see [12]):

$${}_qF_s(a_1, \dots, a_q; b_1, \dots, b_s; z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \dots (a_q)_n}{(b_1)_n \dots (b_s)_n (1)_n} z^n \quad (1.7)$$

where $q \leq s + 1; q, s \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}; z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $(\lambda)_n$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined in terms of the Gamma function by

$$(\lambda)_n = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + n)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} = \begin{cases} 1; & n = 0 \\ \lambda(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2) \dots (\lambda + n - 1); & n \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

Dziok and Srivastava [13], [14] considered a linear operator ${}_qH_s(a_1, \dots, a_q; b_1, \dots, b_s; z): \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ defined by the following Hadamard product (or convolution):

$$\begin{aligned} {}_qH_s(a_1, \dots, a_q; b_1, \dots, b_s; z)f(z) &= z \cdot {}_qF_s(a_1, \dots, a_q; b_1, \dots, b_s; z) * f(z) \\ &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_{n-1} \dots (a_q)_{n-1}}{(b_1)_{n-1} \dots (b_s)_{n-1} (1)_{n-1}} a_n z^n \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

For $q = 2, s = 1, b = 1$ we obtain the Carlson-Shaffer operator defined as (see[6], [15])

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z) &= {}_2H_1(a, 1; c, z)f(z) \\ (1.9) &= z \cdot {}_2F_1(a, 1; c; z) * f(z) \end{aligned}$$



$$= \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(c-a)} \int_0^1 t^{a-2} (1-t)^{c-a-1} f(tz) dt$$

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$, then we can write (1.9) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z) &= z {}_2F_1(a, 1; c; z) * f(z) \\ &= \left(z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n-1}}{(c)_{n-1}} z^n \right) * f(z) \\ &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n-1}}{(c)_{n-1}} a_n z^n \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

and $z(\mathcal{L}(a, c)f)'(z) = a\mathcal{L}(a+1, c)f(z) - (a-1)\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z) (z \in \mathbb{U})$.

We notice that

$$\mathcal{L}(a, a)f(z) = f(z), \mathcal{L}(2, 1)f(z) = zf'(z)$$

For simplicity, we write ${}_2F_1(a, 1; c; z) = F(a, 1; c; z)$. For $F(a, 1; c; z)$, we have well known derivative formula

$$F'(a, 1; c; z) = \frac{d}{dz} F(a, 1; c; z) = \frac{a}{c} F(a+1, 2; c+1; z) \quad (1.11)$$

2. Preliminaries

Each of the following results will be needed to proof our results:

Lemma 2.1. [16]. Let $\psi(z) \in \mathcal{H}_1$ be starlike univalent function with respect to $\psi(0) = 1$, $Re\{\psi(z)\} > 0$, $z \in \mathbb{U}$, and suppose that $g(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the equation

$$1 + \frac{zg''(z)}{g'(z)} = \psi(z), (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Then for $f \in \mathcal{A}$, the condition $1 + \frac{zg''(z)}{g'(z)} \prec \psi(z)$, implies that $f'(z) \prec g'(z)$.

Lemma 2.2. [17]. Let a, b, c be non zero real numbers with $0 < a \leq c$, $a \leq 1$. Then the function $zF(a, 1; c; z)$ is starlike of order $1 - \frac{a}{2}$.

Corollary 2.1. Suppose that a, b, c be non zero real numbers with $-1 < a \leq 1$, $a \leq c$. Then the function $F(a, 1; c; z)$ is convex.

Lemma 2.3. [18] Let $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ be a convex function such that $f \prec g$. Then for all convex functions $h \in \mathcal{H}$, we have $h * f \prec h * g$.

Lemma 2.4. [19]. If $f \in \mathcal{K}$, $g \in \mathcal{S}^*$, then for each function h in \mathcal{A} , we have $\frac{(f * hg)(\mathbb{U})}{(f * g)(\mathbb{U})} \subseteq \overline{coh}(\mathbb{U})$, where $\overline{coh}(\mathbb{U})$ denotes the closed convex hull of $h(\mathbb{U})$.

3. Results Involving Carlson-Shaffer Operator



Theorem 3.1. [21] Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$, g' is convex in \mathbb{U} and $0 < a \leq c$, $a \leq 1$. If $f' \prec g'$, then $\|f\| \leq \|g\|$ and $\|\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z)\| \leq \|\mathcal{L}(a, c)g(z)\|$.

Proof. Given that $f'(z) \prec g'(z)$, then by applying subordination definition, there exists a ω function analytic in \mathcal{A} with $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$, such that $f'(z) = g'(\omega(z))(z \in \mathbb{U})$.

Additionally, for the Schwarz function ω we have $|\omega(z)| \leq |z|$, that is,

$$(1 - |z|^2)|\omega'(z)| \leq 1 - |\omega(z)|^2, (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus, we have } \|f\| &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \left[(1 - |z|^2) |\omega'(z)| \left| \frac{g''(\omega(z))}{g'(\omega(z))} \right| \right] \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \left[(1 - |\omega(z)|^2) \left| \frac{g''(\omega(z))}{g'(\omega(z))} \right| \right] \\ &= \sup_{\xi \in \omega(\mathbb{U})} \left[(1 - |\xi|^2) \left| \frac{g''(\xi)}{g'(\xi)} \right| \right] \leq \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{U}} \left[(1 - |\xi|^2) \left| \frac{g''(\xi)}{g'(\xi)} \right| \right] = \|g\|, \end{aligned}$$

that completes the proof of the first part. To prove the second part, it is enough to show that $(\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z))' \prec (\mathcal{L}(a, c)g(z))'$. The function $g'(z)$ is convex (from the theorem) and also $F(a, 1; c; z)$ (from Corollary 2.1). Thus, according to Lemma 2.3, we have

$$F(a, 1; c; z) * f'(z) \prec F(a, 1; c; z) * g'(z),$$

which implies that

$$(\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z))' \prec (\mathcal{L}(a, c)g(z))'.$$

Therefore, we complete the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

Theorem 3.2. [21] Let $0 < a \leq c$, $a \leq 1$ and $f(z) \in UCV(\alpha, \beta)$ ($0 \leq \beta < \alpha < 1$, $\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{\alpha-\beta}{1-\beta} < 1$), then for Carlson-Shaffer operator, we have

$$\|\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z)\| \leq \frac{2a}{c} \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1-\beta} \right) \sup_{0 \leq x < 1} (1-x^2) \frac{{}_3F_2 \left(a+1, 2, \frac{3-\beta-2\alpha}{1-\beta}; c+1, 2; x \right)}{{}_3F_2 \left(a, 1, \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{1-\beta}; c, 1; x \right)}.$$

Proof. Given that $f(z) \in UCV(\alpha, \beta)$, then

$$Re \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \beta \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| + \alpha,$$

that is,

$$Re \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \frac{\alpha - \beta}{1 - \beta} \equiv \gamma; (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

or, equivalently,

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\gamma)z}{1 - z} = \varphi(z); (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (3.1)$$

where $\varphi(z)$ is a convex function and therefore starlike with respect $\varphi(z) = 1$. Let $g(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that



$$1 + \frac{zg''(z)}{gf'(z)} = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\gamma)z}{1 - z} = \varphi(z); (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Then, by Lemma 2.1, we conclude that $f'(z) < g'(z)$.

After some computations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g'(z) &= (1 - z)^{2(\gamma-1)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2 - 2\gamma)_n}{(1)_n} z^n \\ &= F(2 - 2\gamma, 1; 1; z); (z \in \mathbb{U}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{1}{2} \leq \gamma < 1$, by using Corollary 2.1, the function $g'(z)$ is convex. To find estimation of $\|\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z)\|$, it is enough from Theorem 2.1 to obtain an estimation of $\|\mathcal{L}(a, c)g(z)\|$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}(a, c)g)'(z) &= F(a, 1; c; z) * g'(z) \\ &= F(a, 1; c; z) * F(2 - 2\gamma, 1; 1; z) \\ &= {}_3F_2(a, 1, 2 - 2\gamma; c, 1; z) \\ (\mathcal{L}(a, c)g)''(z) &= \frac{a(2 - 2\gamma)}{c} {}_3F_2(a + 1, 2, 3 - 2\gamma; c + 1, 2; z) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left| \frac{{}_3F_2(a + 1, 2, 3 - 2\gamma; c + 1, 2; z)}{{}_3F_2(a, 1, 2 - 2\gamma; c, 1; z)} \right| \leq \frac{{}_3F_2(a + 1, 2, 3 - 2\gamma; c + 1, 2; |z|)}{{}_3F_2(a, 1, 2 - 2\gamma; c, 1; |z|)},$$

by Mathematic, we have

$$\left| \frac{(\mathcal{L}(a, c)g)''(z)}{(\mathcal{L}(a, c)g)'(z)} \right| \leq \frac{(\mathcal{L}(a, c)g)''(|z|)}{(\mathcal{L}(a, c)g)'(|z|)} (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{L}(a, c)g(z)\| &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}} (1 - |z|^2) \left| \frac{(\mathcal{L}(a, c)g)''(z)}{(\mathcal{L}(a, c)g)'(z)} \right| \\ &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}} (1 - |z|^2) \frac{(\mathcal{L}(a, c)g)''(|z|)}{(\mathcal{L}(a, c)g)'(|z|)} \\ &= \frac{a(2 - 2\gamma)}{c} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}} (1 - |z|^2) \frac{{}_3F_2(a + 1, 2, 3 - 2\gamma; c + 1, 2; |z|)}{{}_3F_2(a, 1, 2 - 2\gamma; c, 1; |z|)}, \end{aligned}$$

this completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. \square

Theorem 3.3. [20], [21] If $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*$, $a > 0, c > a + 3$ and

$$\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - a - 1)}{\Gamma(c - a)\Gamma(c - 1)} \left[1 + \frac{3a}{(c - a - 2)} + \frac{2(a)_2}{(c - a - 3)_2} \right] \leq 2, \quad (3.2)$$

then $\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*$.

Proof. Assuming $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$ i.e.

$$Re \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > 0; (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (3.3)$$

Additionally, we have



$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z(\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z))'}{(\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z))} &= \frac{z(zF(a, 1; c; z) * f(z))'}{zF(a, 1; c; z) * f(z)} = \frac{zF(a, 1; c; z) * zf'(z)}{zF(a, 1; c; z) * f(z)} \\ &= \frac{zF(a, 1; c; z) * \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}f(z)}{zF(a, 1; c; z) * f(z)} = \frac{zF(a, 1; c; z) * H(z)f(z)}{zF(a, 1; c; z) * f(z)} \end{aligned}$$

Using the result of [22], we have $zF(a, 1; c; z) \in \mathcal{K}$, also $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*$ by the hypothesis of the theorem. Thus, from Lemma 2.4,

$$\frac{z(\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z))'}{\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z)} \in \overline{co}H(\mathbb{U}); (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

and by (3.3), we obtain $\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*$, which proves Theorem 3.3. \square

Theorem 3.4. [20], [21] Let $a > 0, c > a + 3$ such that the condition (3.2) holds. If $f \in \mathcal{K}$, then $\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z) \in \mathcal{K}$.

Proof. Assuming $f \in \mathcal{K}$, it follows that

$$Re\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) > 0; (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (3.4)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \frac{z(\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z))''}{(\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z))'} &= 1 + \frac{F(a, 1; c; z) * zf''(z)}{F(a, 1; c; z) * f'(z)} \\ &= \frac{F(a, 1; c; z) * (zf''(z) + f'(z))}{F(a, 1; c; z) * f'(z)} \\ &= \frac{zF(a, 1; c; z) * \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)zf'(z)}{zF(a, 1; c; z) * zf'(z)} = \frac{zF(a, 1; c; z) * G(z)\{zf'(z)\}}{zF(a, 1; c; z) * zf'(z)} \end{aligned}$$

Since $zF(a, 1; c; z) \in \mathcal{K}$ and $f \in \mathcal{K}$, then using the Alexander theorem, $zf'(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*$ and from Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$1 + \frac{z(\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z))''}{(\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z))'} \in \overline{co}G(\mathbb{U}); (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

By (3.4), $\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z) \in \mathcal{K}$ which ends the proof of Theorem 3.4. \square

Theorem 3.5. [20], [21] Let $a > 0, c > a + 3$ such that the condition (3.2) holds. If f is close to convex with respect to g , then $\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z)$ is close to convex with respect to $\mathcal{L}(a, c)g(z)$.

Proof. Assuming f is close to convex with respect to g , i.e

$$Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)}\right) > 0; (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (3.5)$$

Further, we have

$$\frac{z(\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z))'}{\mathcal{L}(a, c)g(z)} = \frac{z(zF(a, 1; c; z) * f(z))'}{zF(a, 1; c; z) * g(z)} = \frac{z(F(a, 1; c; z) * f'(z))}{zF(a, 1; c; z) * g(z)}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{zF(a, 1; c; z) * zf'(z)}{zF(a, 1; c; z) * g(z)} = \frac{zF(a, 1; c; z) * \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} g(z)}{zF(a, 1; c; z) * g(z)} \\
 &= \frac{zF(a, 1; c; z) * M(z)g(z)}{zF(a, 1; c; z) * g(z)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Because of $zF(a, 1; c; z) \in \mathcal{K}$ and $g \in \mathcal{S}^*$, Lemma 2.4 gives us

$$\frac{z(\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z))'}{\mathcal{L}(a, c)g(z)} \in \overline{co}M(\mathbb{U}); (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

and according to (3.5), we obtain the required result of Theorem 3.5. \square

Conclusion

In the present paper, we have obtained the norm estimates for Carlson-Shaffer operator of the class of uniformly convex functions of order α and type β in light of the Pre-Schwarzian norm.

References

- [1] H. Hornich, Ein BanachraumanalytischerFunktionen in Zusammenhang mit den schlichtenFunktionen, Monatshefte für Mathematik, 73 (1969) 36-45.
- [2] Y. C. Kim, S. Ponnusamy and T. Sugawa, Mapping properties of nonlinear integral operators pre-schwarzian derivatives, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 299 (2004) 433-447.
- [3] Y. C. Kim, and T. Sugawa, Growth and coefficient estimates for uniformly locally univalent functions on the unit disk, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, 32 (2002) 179-200.
- [4] S. S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 255, Marcel Dekker, New York, (2000).
- [5] T. Bulboacă, Differential Subordinations and Superordinations. New Results, House of Scientific Book Publ., Cluj-Napoca, (2005).
- [6] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, New Jersey, London, and Hong Kong, (1992).
- [7] A. W. Goodman, On uniformly convex functions, Annales Polonici Mathematici, 56 (1991) 87-92.
- [8] A. W. Goodman, On uniformly starlike functions, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 155 (1991) 364-370.
- [9] F. Rønning, Onstarlik functions associated with parabolic regions, Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Sklodowska, sectio A-Mathematica, 45 (1991) 117-122.
- [10] F. Rønning, Uniformly convex functions and a corresponding class of starlike functions, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 118 (1993) 190-196.



- [11] R. Bharati, R. Parvatham and A. Swaminathan, On subclasses of uniformly convex functions and a corresponding class of starlike functions, *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics*, 28 (1997) 17-32.
- [12] H. M. Srivastava and P. W. Karlsson, *Multiple Gaussian Hypergeometric Series (Mathematics and its Applications)*, A Halsted Press Book (Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester), John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane and Toronto, (1985).
- [13] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 103 (1999) 1-13.
- [14] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, *Integral Transform. Spec. Funct.*, 14 (2003) 7-18.
- [15] B. C. Carlson and D. B. Shaffer, starlike and prestarlikehypergeometric functions, *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 15 (1984) 737-745.
- [16] W., MA, D. Minda, A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent funvctions. in Proceedings of the conference on complex analysis, Li, Z., Ren, L. Lang and S. Zhavg, International Press, New york, (1994) 167-169.
- [17] ST. Ruscheeyh and V.Singh, On the order of starlikeness of hupergeometric functions, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 113 (1986) 1-11.
- [18] ST. Ruscheweyh, *Convolutions in Geometric Function Theory*, Les Presses De L'Université De Montréal, (1982).
- [19] ST. Ruscheweyh and T. Shell-Small, Hadamard products of schlicht functions and the Polya-Schoenberg conjecture, *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, 48 (1973) 119-135.
- [20] S. D. Bernardi, Convex and starlike univalent functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 135 (1969) 429-446.
- [21] J. Dziok and H. M. Zayed, Pre-Schwarzian norm for linear operators of uniformly convex functions of order α and type β , *Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica*, 56 (2019) 297-308.
- [22] H. Silverman, Starlike and convexity properties for hypergeometric functions, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 172 (1993) 574-581.